

#4.1 CHECK IN – DELPHI RESULTS

WORKING GROUP:

Date Submitted to TAG:

Date of OMERACT Methodologist Assessment:

Date of TAG comments:

Date of Working Group Response to TAG comments:

Date of TAG review of comments:

TAG Decision Rating for Submission:

GREEN: The submission is approved with no concerns. The work is ready to move forward without any changes.

AMBER: The submission is generally acceptable, but there are some concerns or weaknesses. Revisions or further clarification may be needed, but the work can proceed with caution.

RED: The submission is not approved. Significant issues must be addressed before proceeding.

REVIEW OF MATERIALS SUBMITTED

Item	Assessment (Yes/No)	Comments
Alignment with Delphi Protocol		
Rationale for using Delphi clearly stated		
Delphi protocol submitted and followed		
Number of rounds (min 3, ideally 4) conducted		
Delphi software used ensured anonymity		
Definitions of all candidate domains documented using OMERACT's layered approach		
Core areas (pathophysiological, life impact, death/lifespan) adequately represented		

Participant Recruitment and Representation		
At least 3 continents represented		
PRPs and other collaborator groups well-represented		
Final sample allows stratification by PRPs vs others		
Delphi Results and Feedback Management		
Response rates reported by round and by collaborator group		
Summary of results per round provided		
Domain retention/exclusion followed OMERACT criteria ($\geq 70\%$ thresholds)		
Feedback provided between rounds to participants		
Domains added by participants in Round 1 reviewed and integrated appropriately		
Round 1 Key Issues:		
Round 2 Key Issues:		
Round 3 Key Issues:		
Round 4 Key Issues:		

TAG COMMENTS

ACTION ITEMS FOR WORKING GROUP