

INSTRUMENT SELECTION PART B CHECKLIST ITEM #2.2 TAG REVIEW

WORKING GROUP:

Date Submitted to TAG:

Date of OMERACT Methodologist Assessment:

Date of TAG comments:

Date of Working Group Response to TAG comments:

Date of TAG review of comments:

TAG Decision Rating for Submission:

GREEN: The submission is approved with no concerns. The work is ready to move forward without any changes.

AMBER: The submission is generally acceptable, but there are some concerns or weaknesses. Revisions or further clarification may be needed, but the work can proceed with caution.

RED: The submission is not approved. Significant issues must be addressed before proceeding.

OMERACT TAG COMMENTS:

Working Group Composition:

The working group composition has been reviewed and is in accordance with OMERACT guidelines, including:

- Three co-chairs from at least three different continents
- At least two PRPs (ideally three from different continents)
- At least one OMERACT Fellow or Returning Fellow (ideally three from different continents)
- At least two other topic experts
- Broad working group membership

Comments (if any):

Systematic Review Methods Protocol – Review Summary

Has the group opted to follow the OMERACT-recommended protocol?

Yes

No

If deviations from OMERACT protocol are proposed, are these clearly documented and justified in the submitted protocol?

Yes

No

Not applicable

Are the following components addressed clearly and appropriately in the protocol?

Component	Yes/No/N/A	Comments
Eligibility criteria		
Search strategy		
Data Management		
Selection process with Artificial Intelligence (AI) (optional)		
Data extraction process		
Data Synthesis		

Additional Comments: