SELECT CANDIDATE INSTRUMENT

WORKING GROUP:

This form helps OMERACT working groups identify the candidate instrument they selected to put through the OMERACT Filter. It provides a space to provide key information on the instrument, including details such as theoretical underpinnings, a summary of content (number of elements, items), response categories, scoring suggestions, how it was developed, what it is intended to measure (as described by the developers of the index/technique/scale). Please complete a form for each instrument.

1. INSTRUMENT DETAILS

Instrument Name:

Version/Subscales (if applicable):

Link to Instrument:

2. THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS

Provide a brief summary of the theoretical foundation guiding the instrument development. What conceptual framework or model does the instrument draw from?

3. CONTENT SUMMARY

Specify the number of items, type of response categories (e.g., Likert scale, binary), and how the instrument is scored (e.g., total score, subscales). Provide an estimate of how long it takes to complete the instrument.

4. INSTRUMENT DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Describe the methodology used in developing the instrument (e.g., literature review, expert panel, patient involvement). Additionally, specify the population studied during development and the stages of testing conducted (e.g., cognitive interviews, pilot studies).

5. INTENDED MEASUREMENT OUTCOMES

Provide a clear description of what the instrument is intended to measure, as described by its developers. List the key domains or dimensions the instrument covers, such as physical function, pain, or psychological impact.

6. RATIONALE FOR SELECTION

Explain why this instrument was chosen by the Working Group for the core domain, highlighting its strengths or relevance. Confirm whether the instrument aligns with the domain definition established by the Working Group.

7. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Any other relevant details or information not covered in previous sections.

Date Submitted: